

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,898	01/09/2002	Jong-Hong Bae	P67539US0	8890
7	590 12/21/2004		EXAM	INER
JACOBSON HOLMAN			CHEN, TSE W	
PROFESSION	AL LIMITED LIABILI'	TY COMPANY		
400 SEVENTH STREET, N. W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004		2116		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,898	BAE, JONG-HONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Tse Chen	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a right of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state than three months after the main tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of a will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	January 2002.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	;					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	· · ·	١			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2002</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \sqsubseteq ne drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/040,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology such as "means" and a minor informality on line 11 with the sentence containing "output pin is in a system mode". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because it is replete with informalities, examples of which are the following: "there is provide" on line 6 of page 3 should be "there is provided"; "output pin is in a system mode" on line 14 of page 3 should be "output pin in a system mode"; "there is provide" on line 19 of page 3 should be "there is provided"; "clock generator 200 is included" on line 7 of page 5 should be "clock generator 100 is included"; "clock" on line 3 of page 6 is misspelled; "the MDS system is below to the maximum operating frequency" on line 19 of page 7 should be "the MDS system is below the maximum operating frequency"; and etc. The disclosure should be revised carefully, with no new subject matter, to remove all informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,898 Page 3

Art Unit: 2116

4. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: "...for using the clock output pin is in a system mode" is grammatically incorrect; "a microcontroller" on lines 10-11 and 19-20, respectively, should be "the microcontroller" as it is referring to the antecedent established in the preamble. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams, US Patent 6745338, in view of Hui et al., US Patent 6694463, hereinafter Hui.
- 7. Williams discloses a microcontroller [circuit 100], comprising [fig.2; col.2, ll.43-51]:
 - A clock input pin [102], wherein an input signal [clk_in] from the external circuit [106] is inputted.
 - A clock generating means [120] for generating a clock signal [126] by receiving a signal from the clock input pin.
 - A clock output pin [104] for receiving an output signal [clk_out] of the clock generating means and outputting the output signal.
- 8. Williams did not disclose the details of transmitting an internal signal.
- 9. Hui discloses a microcontroller [integrated circuit device] comprising [fig.2; col.3, ll.19-61]:

Art Unit: 2116

- A first switch [test_en 41 of test mode input buffer 44] for transmitting an internal signal [27 from other internal logic 39] of the microcontroller to the output pin [32] for using the clock output pin in a system mode [normal operating mode].
- A second switch [test_en 41 of test mode output buffer 46], which is enabled [first state] when the generating means [circuit operates under some generating means in the broadest interpretation for testing] is operated in the generation mode [test operating mode] and disabled [second state] when the microcontroller is operated in the system mode [normal operating mode].
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Williams and Hui before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the microcontroller taught by Willaims to include the switches taught by Hui, in order to obtain the microcontroller comprising a first switch for transmitting an internal signal of a microcontroller to the clock output pin for using the clock output pin is in a system mode and a second switch, which is enabled when the clock generating means is operated in the clock generation mode and disabled when the microcontroller is operated in the system mode. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to test for continuity in a circuit device [Hui: col.1, ll.13-58].
- 11. As to claim 2, Hui discloses, wherein a second switch [227] includes a plurality of switches connected in parallel [fig.5; col.3, l.62 col.4, l.14; multiple switches in mux to select appropriate path].
- 12. As to claim 3, Williams discloses, comprising a control means [122' generating clkmode] for controlling of switches [to 130' and 132'] depending on a clock signal [clk in] of the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,898 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

clock input pin [102] [fig.5; col.4, l.61 – col.5, l.34] and Hui discloses, comprising a control means [41 generated by chip] for selectively controlling each of switches [fig.5; mux 28..228 and 227 selectively controlled by test eni].

- 13. As to claim 4, Hui discloses, comprising a control means [41 generated by chip] for selectively enabling first and second switches classified by modes [states] [col.3, ll.19-39].
- 14. As to claim 5, Williams and Hui disclose each and every limitation as set forth above in reference to claims 3 and 4.
- 15. As to claim 6, Williams discloses, wherein the clock generating means [120] includes [fig.3; col.2, l.65 col.3, l.13]:
 - An inverter [130] for amplifying an input signal [clk_in, 124] as a full-swing to generate
 a clock signal [clk_out, 126].
 - A resistor [rfb 132], which is connected to input and output terminals of the inverter [fig.3].
- 16. In re claim 7, Williams and Hui disclose every limitation as set forth above in reference to claim 1. In particular Williams discloses a system [fig.2] having a microcontroller [circuit 100], comprising:
 - A first clock generating means [120] for receiving a signal [124] from the clock input pin to generate a clock signal [126] [fig.2; col.2, ll.43-51].
 - A second clock generating means [external based clock] for providing a clock signal to the microcontroller through the clock input pin in a system mode [clock mode at first state] [col.3, 11.47-65].

Page 6

17. As to claim 8, Williams and Hui disclose each and every limitation as set forth above in reference to claims 2 and 7.

As to claim 9, Williams discloses, comprising a control means [122' generating clk-18. mode], which is placed in the inside of the microcontroller [fig.5], for controlling of switches [to 130' and 132'] depending on a frequency of a clock signal [clk in] of the clock input pin [102] [fig.5; col.3, ll.47-57; col.4, l.61 – col.5, l.34] and Hui discloses, comprising a control means [41] generated by chip] for selectively controlling each of switches [fig.5; mux 28..228 and 227 selectively controlled by test eni].

- As to claim 10, Williams and Hui disclose each and every limitation as set forth above in 19. reference to claims 4 and 9.
- As to claim 11, Williams and Hui disclose each and every limitation as set forth above in 20. reference to claims 9 and 10.

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited U.S. patent documents describe various methods and systems associated with clock sources and output ports.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,898

Art Unit: 2116

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen December 14, 2004 LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600